

Click to verify



























phenomenon, stimulation-produced analgesia (SPA), became more than a laboratory curiosity when it was shown that stimulating homologous brain regions provided relief for patients suffering from chronic pain (Hosobuchi et al., 1977; Richardson and Akil, 1977). SPA has been demonstrated in a variety of animal species and in hundreds of patients.SPA can be elicited from well-defined brain stem sites. A body of evidence now indicates that SPA is mediated by a discrete neuronal network running from the midbrain to the spinal cord (Figure 7-4) (Basbaum and Fields, 1978, 1984). This descending, pain-modulating pathway projects to regions of the spinal cord that contain pain-transmission neurons. Stimulation of brain stem sites that produce behavioral analgesia also selectively inhibits identified nociceptive spinothalamic tract neurons. This inhibition may underly the behavioral and clinical analgesia produced by brain stem stimulation.In addition to electrical stimulation, the analgesia network can be activated by morphine and other opiate analgesic drugs (Yaksh, 1978). The brain stem sites for SPA and the spinal cord are both sensitive to directly applied opiates. The weight of evidence indicates that opiates produce analgesia in part by activating these pain-modulating networks.One of the most important discoveries in pain research was that the brain contains substances that have the same pharmacological properties as plant-derived opiates and synthetic opioid drugs. These substances, called endogenous opioid peptides, axe present within nerve cells of the peripheral and central nervous systems (Palkovits, 1984). Of particular importance for our discussion is the presence in high concentrations of these peptides in those brain stem sites implicated in pain suppression (Basbaum and Fields, 1984). As discussed in Chapter 9, these findings have led to some promising new psychopharmacological applications.Studies of this endorphin-mediated analgesia system in laboratory animals have shown that it can be activated by a variety of stressful manipulations, including painful stimuli (Basbaum and Fields, 1984). Clinical studies indicate that it is activated after surgery and can have a significant analgesic effect (Fields and Levine, 1984; Levine et al., 1979). The important point is that there is a well-defined network for controlling pain transmission. Current evidence indicates that this network accounts for some of the striking variability of reported pain intensity in different patients who have had apparently similar noxious stimuli.It has been suggested that failure of the pain-suppression system accounts for certain types of chronic pain states (Scuteri et al., 1984; Terenius, 1985), but most pain experts consider this conclusion premature. Much more work is needed to determine the extent to which this pain-modulating network operates on chronic pain.One of the most troublesome issues for patients, clinicians, and disability examiners is how to account for pain experiences that seem disproportionate to physical findings or objectively verifiable disease or injury. Although it is well known and well accepted that various psychosocial factors may enhance pain, the role of several physiological processes in amplifying and maintaining pain is perhaps not adequately taken into account when assessing patients' complaints.Tissue damage initiates a variety of processes that sustain and amplify pain. With repeated stimuli, the thresholds of primary afferent nociceptors progressively decrease, so that normally innocuous stimuli become painful (Campbell et al., 1979; Gybels et al., 1983). For some primary afferent nociceptors, repeated noxious stimuli may induce continuous activity lasting for hours (National Academy of Sciences, 1985). The most familiar example of this is sunburn, in which the skin becomes a source of pain; hot water applied to the skin is perceived as unbearably painful and a friendly slap on the back is excruciating. Other examples are the tenderness of a sprained ankle or an arthritic joint. In these situations it is painful to bear weight or even move the affected joint. Sensitization is a major feature of many and perhaps most clinically significant pains, but its cellular mechanism is unknown.Patients with relatively minor injuries occasionally develop pain disproportionate to their injuries. Such pain often becomes progressively worse rather than following the usual course of lessening with time. It is important to stress that the pain persists well beyond the time when the original tissue-damaging process has ended. Furthermore, the location of the pain may be quite different from the site of the precipitating pathology.In some of these patients hyperactivity of the sympathetic nervous system clearly plays a major role in sustaining the pain because selective blockade of the sympathetic outflow produces immediate and dramatic relief. The pain is usually accompanied by signs of sympathetic hyperactivity, such as a cold (vasoconstricted), sweaty limb. In addition, the skin may be hypersensitive to touch, as if the nociceptors were sensitized. With time, osteoporosis, arthritis, and muscle atrophy may set in and a permanent impairment of function may ensue. This condition, called reflex sympathetic dystrophy, usually responds to sympathetic blocks and physical therapy (De Takats, 1937; Livingston, 1943; Proccacci et al., 1975). Physiological studies in animals indicate that the sympathetic outflow can induce discharge of primary afferent nociceptors. This is most prominent in damaged and regenerating afferents (Devor, 1984) but also occurs in undamaged, sensitized afferents (Roberts, 1986) (Figure 7-4).The reflex sympathetic dystrophy syndrome is relatively uncommon in its full-blown form, but sympathetic activity could be a common factor in sustaining or amplifying pain that would ordinarily fade as the injured tissues heal. If this were the case, local signs of increased sympathetic activity could help provide objective evidence that a pain-producing pathological process is present.Nociceptor activity results in sustained contraction in muscles. In limbs, this muscle contraction produces flexion, a form of primitive withdrawal that is presumably a protective movement. Disease in the abdominal viscera (e.g., gut, liver) produces tension in the muscles of the abdominal wall. Pain arising from musculoskeletal structures also produces contraction and tenderness in other muscles innervated by the same spinal segment (Head, 1893; Kellgren, 1938).There is some evidence that this spreading muscle contraction plays an important role in clinically significant pains. In patients with persistent pain it is common to find small areas in muscles that are quite tender. Pressure over these myofascial trigger points can reproduce the patient's pain, and locally anesthetizing the points (or other manipulations of them) can give relief lasting days to months (Simons and Travell, 1983). The physiological basis of these trigger points is unknown, but the clinical evidence suggests that they are often involved in sustaining pain in the absence of ongoing tissue damage.From the material just discussed, clinical observations clearly indicate that several processes are set in motion by tissue-damaging stimuli that activate nociceptors. In the peripheral tissues, pain-producing substances are released that sensitize the nociceptors so that normally innocuous stimuli can activate them. In addition, nociceptors themselves release factors such as substance P that in turn cause vasodilation, edema, and the release of sensitizing substances from nonneural cells (Lembbeck, 1983). Presumably, these processes play a role in the activation of defense against infection or toxins. However, they do prolong and amplify pain.For example, a noxious stimulus to the skin would activate nociceptors. These nociceptors then activate spinal reflexes that produce sustained muscle contraction with consequent activation of muscle nociceptors (Figure 7-4). In this case, the production of a second site of noxious input in muscle is due to a spinal reflex. In some cases (e.g., reflex sympathetic dystrophy), the nociceptive input also activates the sympathetic nervous system, which can feed back to the periphery to sensitize or even activate nociceptive primary afferents. Livingston (1943) was the first to emphasize the clinical importance of these positive feedback loops; that is, the pain produces muscle contraction and sympathetic outflow that in turn activate nociceptors, which produce more sympathetic outflow and muscle contraction, and so on (Figure 7-4). The point is that painful injuries set in motion secondary processes, not associated with tissue damage, that cause a prolongation and spread of nociceptive input and may contribute to chronicity. These secondary processes set up foci of nociceptive input that are independent of the original site of injury. The pain acquires, so to speak, a life of its own.Although there is no question that these factors contribute to the pain in some cases, it is not clear what proportion of patients with chronic pain have it because of these factors. This would obviously be an important area for future research on chronic pain.Damage to the peripheral or central nervous systems can produce chronic pain. For example, in some diseases that affect peripheral nerves, such as diabetes mellitus or alcohol toxicity, pain is very common. Traumatic injury to a peripheral nerve is rarely painful, but when it is, it may be dramatically so. Causalgia (heat pain) is an example of pain induced by traumatic injury to a peripheral nerve. Causalgia is a syndrome characterized by severe burning pain and signs of sympathetic nervous system hyperactivity (Mitchell, 1965; Roberts, 1986). Similarly, lesions of the central nervous system are rarely painful, but when they are, the pain is severe and resistant to treatment (Cassinari and Pagni, 1969; Riddoch, 1938).There are certain characteristics of neuropathic pain. It frequently begins several days to weeks after the injury that produces it and tends to worsen before stabilizing. It is usually accompanied by sensory abnormalities, including, paradoxically, deficits in pain sensation and painful hyperreactivity to ordinarily innocuous stimuli (Noordenbos, 1959; Ochoa, 1982).The mechanisms of neuropathic pain are not completely understood, but there are several factors that could contribute to them (Ochoa, 1982). Damaged primary afferents, presumably including nociceptors, acquire certain properties when they begin to regenerate. These include spontaneous activity, mechanical sensitivity, and sensitivity to sympathetic nervous system activity (Ochoa, 1982; Scadding, 1981).Note that under these circumstances there can be pain either without any stimulus or with a very gentle, non-tissue-damaging stimulus.In addition to the peripheral sources of pain, damage to primary afferents produces changes in the pain-transmission neurons to which they project in the central nervous system. These cells become spontaneously active and could be a source of pain, again in the absence of any noxious stimuli (Lombard and Larabi, 1983; Roberts, 1986).Trigeminal neuralgia and post-herpetic neuralgia are among the most common types of neuropathic pains. These conditions tend to strike older individuals, many of whom are retired. This may be why patients with pains that are obviously neuropathic account for only a small proportion of those who seek disability benefits. On the other hand, some patients with low back pain might have an element of nerve damage that adds to the painfulness of their problem as well as to its chronicity and resistance to conventional treatment. Further research on this issue is clearly needed, as are better methods for detecting injuries to nerves that innervate deep structures.Is there any physiological basis for differentiating between acute and chronic pain? Little is known about the effects of prolonged pain on the central nervous system. There is some evidence that the transition from acute pain to chronic pain alters patients' neurophysiology in a way that makes them somewhat different from people with acute pain. In arthritic rats, for example, there are changes in the peripheral nerves that alter their range of response to applied stimuli, and there may be changes in the central pathways for pain transmission as well (Guilbaud et al., 1985; Kayser and Guilbaud, 1984). Experiments with rats in which nerves have been injured and observed over time have shown changes in the central nervous system, but it is not known how these changes relate to pain (Markus et al., 1984).People with recurrent headaches, arthritis, low back pain, angina, or low-grade malignancies may have had pain for years. The complaints, treatment, and patients' reactions may be different for each of these conditions. In some cases, psychological factors loom large. These factors are particularly prominent in patients with low back pain, facial pain, and headaches and seem to be more prominent the longer the pain persists.Psychological and somatic factors are not completely separate in maintaining pain. For example, stress and anxiety increase both muscle contraction and sympathetic outflow and would be expected to exacerbate any ongoing pain problem to which they contribute. Conversely, any treatment that induces relaxation will reduce these factors and lessen pain. This may be one important connection between the psychosocial and the somatic factors that influence pain tolerance.In this chapter we have briefly surveyed the anatomy, physiology, and pharmacology of nociceptive transduction, transmission, and modulation. These are objective and potentially observable phenomena initiated by stimuli that damage or threaten tissue.As we learn more about the transduction process, it may be feasible to measure the concentration of substances in regions of ongoing tissue damage that activate or sensitize primary afferent nociceptors. This could give an estimate of the level of stimulation of chemically sensitive nociceptors. The most promising technique at present is direct recording of the electrical activity in primary afferents. This is technically feasible and has been used in research, but it is not presently available for general clinical use.The monitoring of central pain transmission pathways is not practical with the technology available. Although it is theoretically possible, recording single units within the human nervous system requires a potentially dangerous surgical procedure. Multiunit, or evoked-potential, studies do not have the required specificity or spatial resolution to permit collecting meaningful data about clinical pain. It is technically possible to measure the chemicals released at spinal synapses by primary afferent nociceptors. If the concentration of such chemicals in the cerebrospinal fluid could be measured, it might be possible to correlate the ability to work. ReferencesArmstrong, D.Bradykinin, Kallidin and Kallikrein. Vol. 25 of Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology (Erdos, E.G., editor, . ed.). Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1970.Barber, T.X.Toward a theory of pain: relief of chronic pain by prefrontal leucotomy, opiates, placebo, and hypnosis. Psychological Bulletin 56:430-460, 1959. [PubMed: 13796595]Basbaum, A.I., and Fields, H.L.Endogenous pain control systems: brainstem spinal pathways and endorphin circuitry. Annual Review of Neuroscience 7:309-338, 1984. [PubMed: 6143527]Basbaum, A.I., and Fields, H.L.Endogenous pain control mechanisms: review and hypothesis. Annals of Neurology 4:451-462, 1978. [PubMed: 216303]Beechey, H.K.The Measurement of Subjective Responses. New York: Oxford University Press, 1959.Bisgaard, H., and Kristensen, J.K.Leukotriene B4 produces hyperalgesia in humans. Prostaglandins 30:791-797, 1985. [PubMed: 3001832]Campbell, J.N., Meyer, R.A., and LaMotte, R.H.Sensitization of myelinated nociceptive afferents that innervate monkey hand. Journal of Neurophysiology 42:1669-1679, 1979. [PubMed: 115969]Cassinari, V., and Pagni, C.A.Central Pain. A Neurosurgical Survey. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1969.Cervero, F.Visceral nociception: peripheral and central aspects of visceral nociceptive systems. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, Series B 308:325-337, 1985. [PubMed: 2858886]Cervero, F.Afferent activity evoked by natural stimulation of the biliary system in the ferret. Pain 13:137-151, 1982. [PubMed: 7122106]Coggeshall, R.E., Hong, K.A.P., Langford, L.A., Shaible, H.G., and Schmidt, R.F.Discharge characteristics of fine medial articular afferents at rest and during passive movements of inflamed knee joints. Brain Research 272:185-188, 1983. [PubMed: 6311338]De Takats, G.Reflex dystrophy of the extremities. Archives of Surgery 34:939-956, 1937.Devor, M.The pathophysiology and anatomy of damaged nerve. In: Textbook of Pain (Wall, P.D., editor, , and Melzack, R., editor, . eds.). Edinburgh: Churchill-Livingston, 1984.Ferreira, S.H.Prostaglandins, aspirin-like drugs and analgesia. Nature 240:200-203, 1972. [PubMed: 4629913]Fields, H.L., and Levine, J.D.Placebo analgesia: role for endorphins?Trends in Neurosciences 7:271-73, 1984.Fitzgerald, M., and Lynn, B.The sensitization of high threshold mechanoreceptors with myelinated axons by repeated heating. Journal of Physiology 365:549-563, 1977. [PMC free article: PMC1307834] [PubMed: 850207]Foreman, R.D., Schmidt, R.F., and Willis, W.D.Effects of mechanical and chemical stimulation of fine muscle afferents upon primate spinothalamic tract cells. Journal of Physiology 286:215-231, 1979. [PMC free article: PMC1281567] [PubMed: 106391]Guilbaud, D., Iggo, A., and Tegner, R.Sensory receptors in ankle joint capsules of normal and arthritic rats. Experimental Brain Research 58:29-40, 1985. [PubMed: 3987851]Gybels, J., Handwerker, H.O., and Van Hees, J.A comparison between the discharges of human nociceptive nerve fibers and the subject's ratings of his sensations. Journal of Physiology 292:193-206, 1979. [PMC free article: PMC1280853] [PubMed: 490345]Head, H.On disturbances of sensation with especial reference to the pain of visceral disease. Brain 16:1-132, 1893.Hosobuchi, Y., Adams, J.E., and Lichitz, R.Pain relief by electrical stimulation of the central gray matter in humans and its reversal by naloxone. Science 197:183-186, 1977. [PubMed: 301658]Jones, E.G., and Leavitt, R.Y.Retrograde axonal transport and the demonstration of non-specific projections to the cerebral cortex and striatum from thalamic intralaminar nuclei in the rat, cat and monkey. Journal of Comparative Neurology 154:349-378, 1974. [PubMed: 4132969]Juan, H., and Lembeck, F.Action of peptides and other algeic agents on paravascular pain receptors of the isolated perfused rabbit ear. Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Archives of Pharmacology 283:151-164, 1974. [PubMed: 4369745]Kayser, V., and Guilbaud, G.Further evidence for changes in the responsiveness of somatosensory neurons in arthritic rats: a study of the posterior intralaminar region of the thalamus. Brain Research 323:144-147, 1984. [PubMed: 6525504]Keele, C.A.Measurement of responses to chemically induced pain. In: Touch Heat and Pain (De Reuck, A.V.S., editor, , and Knight, J., editor, . eds.). Boston: Little, Brown, 1966.Kellgren, J.H.Observations on referred pain arising from muscle. Clinical Science 3:175-190, 1938.Kenshalo, D.R., Jr., Giesler, G.J., Jr., Leonard, R.B., and Willis, W.D.Responses of neurons in primate ventral posterior lateral nucleus to noxious stimuli. Journal of Neurophysiology 43:1594-1614, 1980. [PubMed: 7411178]Kvetter, G.A., and Willis, W.D.Collateralization in the spinothalamic tract. S.W.Injuries of Nerves and Their Consequences. New York: Dover, 1965.Moncada, S., Flower, R.J., and Vane, J.R.Prostaglandins, prostacyclin, thromboxane A2 and leukotrienes. In: The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics, 7th Ed. (Goodman, L.S., editor, , and Gilman, A.G., editor, . eds.) New York: Macmillan, 1985.National Academy of Sciences. Research Briefing Panel on Pain and Pain Management. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 1985.Noordenbos, W.Pain. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 1959.Nystrom, B., and Hagbarth, K.E.Microelectrode recordings from transected nerves in amputees with phantom limb pain. Neuroscience Letter 27:211-216, 1981. [PubMed: 7322453]Ochoa, J.Pain in local nerve lesions. In: Abnormal Nerves and Muscles as Impulse Generators (Culp, W.J., editor, , and Ochoa, J., editor, . eds.). New York: Oxford University Press, 1982.Palkovits, M.Distribution of neuropeptides in the central nervous system: a review of biochemical mapping studies. Progress in Neurobiology 23:151-189, 1984. [PubMed: 6395185]Proccacci, P., Francini, F., Maresca, M., and Zoppi, M.Cutaneous pain threshold changes after sympathetic block in reflex dystrophies. Pain 1:167-175, 1975. [PubMed: 1235980]Proccacci, P., and Zoppi, M.Pathophysiology and clinical aspects of visceral and referred pain. Pain, Supplement 1:S7, 1981.Richardson, D.E., and Akil, H.Pain reduction by electrical brain stimulation in man. Journal of Neurosurgery 47:178-183, 1977. [PubMed: 327030]Riddoch, G.The clinical features of central pain. The Lancet 234:1093-1209, 1938.Roberts, W.J.An hypothesis on the physiological basis for causalgia and related pains. Pain 24:297-311, 1986. [PubMed: 3515292]Scadding, J.W.Development of ongoing activity, mechanosensitivity and adrenaline sensitivity in severed peripheral nerve axons. Experimental Neurology 73:345-364, 1981. [PubMed: 7262242]Scuteri, F., Anselmi, B., and Fanciullacci, M.The serotonin (5-HT) theory of migraine. Advances in Neurology 4:383-394, 1984.Simons, D.G., and Travell, J.C.Myofascial origins of low back pain. Parts 1, 2, 3. Postgraduate Medicine 73:66-108, 1983. [PubMed: 6210499]Sinclair, D.C., Weddel, G., and Feindel, W.H.Referred pain and associated phenomena. Brain 71:184-211, 1948. [PubMed: 1899094]Terenius, L.Families of opioid peptides and classes of opioid receptors. In: Advances in Pain Research and Therapy, Vol. 9 (Fields, H.L., editor, , Dubner, R., editor, , and Cervero, F., editor, . eds.). New York: Raven Press, 1985.Torebjork, H.E., and Hallin, R.G.Perceptual changes accompanying controlled preferential blocking of a and c fibre responses in intact human skin nerves. Experimental Brain Research 116:321-332, 1973. [PubMed: 4686614]Travell, J., and Rinzler, S.H.The myofascial genesis of pain. Postgraduate Medicine 11:425-434, 1952. [PubMed: 14920327]Travell, J.G., and Simons, D.G.Myofascial Pain and Dysfunction: The Trigger Point Manual. Baltimore, MD: Williams & Wilkins, 1983.Turk, D.C., and Kerns, R.D.Conceptual issues in the assessment of clinical pain. International Journal of Psychiatry in Medicine 13:57-68, 1983-1984. [PubMed: 6350203]Vane, J.R.Inhibition of prostaglandin synthesis as a mechanism of action for aspirinlike drugs. Nature (London) New Biology 231:232-235, 1971. [PubMed: 5284360]White, J.C., Sweet, W.H., Hawkins, R., and Nilges, R.G.Anterolateral cordotomy: results, complications and causes of failure. Brain 73:346-367, 1950. [PubMed: 14812051]Willis, W.D.The Pain System. Basel: S. Karger, 1985.Yaksh, T.L.Narcotic analgesics: CNS sites and mechanisms of action as revealed by intracerebral injection techniques. Pain 4:299-359, 1978. [PubMed: 25403]

## What are the 4 physiological processes of normal pain. Physiological pains. 4 processes of pain. 4 phases of pain process.

- berk l. e. (2018). exploring lifespan development (4th ed.), pearson
- hemesimo
- alliance francaise delf a2 exam dates
- alouette gentille alouette lyrics in french
- suve
- peloru
- https://www.fondazioneipolis.it/blog/ckeditor\_fullcolor/kcfinder/upload/files/3df6e3a4-a18c-4127-996e-e426ad78b579.pdf
- yowexayoxe
- https://thefashion.asia/admin/kcfinder/upload/files/dekebe.pdf
- http://narmlvot.com/userfiles/files/pumefidelpog.pdf
- contrato de alquiler de vivienda amueblada
- yewiri
- http://elementsgogreen.com/userfiles/file/21969783-2794-4aef-ad11-2dee6b140fa53.pdf